**RFS 24-77045**

**Attachment F**

**Quality Metrics Response Template**

**Background:** This Attachment includes two tables of quality metrics the State is requiring for Indiana’s use during the Demonstration Program: (1) **Table 1** includes “Clinic-Collected Metrics,” or metrics that will be collected by CCBHCs; and (2) **Table 2** includes “State-Collected Metrics,” or metrics that will be collected by the State. These metrics are critical to help the State track the growth of the behavioral health system, delivery of services, and outcomes achieved through the CCBHC expansion.

In accordance with the Certification Criterion 3.b.2 (see Attachment E), “The CCBHC is expected to share data with the State in accordance with the requirements set forth in its contractual agreement to provide CCBHC services."

The State acknowledges that SAMHSA has not provided technical specifications for all updated quality measures. The State will align with SAMHSA specifications once additional guidance is released and published.

Please enter information into the open columns, as applicable and as described in the instructions for each table.

**Table 1: Clinic-Collected Metrics**

**Background:** This section includes the quality metrics that each CCBHC will be required to collect and report on. Many metrics are sourced from the Certification Criteria for CCBHCs and are federally required. The State also plans to continue collecting twenty (20) metrics currently tracked by the CCBHC Bridge Grants.

**Instructions:** In the table below, please indicate whether you are currently collecting the following quality metrics. If you do currently capture and report all data required for the respective metric, please explain how you are currently doing so. If you do not currently capture and report data for the respective metric, please explain how you plan on doing so by the start of the Demonstration Program (anticipated on or around July 1, 2024).

| **Quality Metric** | **Description** | **Are you currently collecting all data for this metric?** | **If so, how do you currently capture and report the data? If not, how do you plan to by 7/1/24?** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Time To Services (I-SERV) | Replaces I-EVAL, includes average time to: Initial Evaluation, Initial Clinical Services, and Crisis Services[[1]](#footnote-2) | Yes | Reported through our EHR to the DARMHA website. We are currently working with our EHR to have this report and fields pulled automatically. This work is actively being done and will be completed prior to 7/1/24. |
| Depression Remission at Six Months (DEP-REM-6) | Percentage of adolescent patients 12 to 17 years of age and adult patients 18 years of age or older with major depression or dysthymia who reached remission six months (+/- 60 days) after an index event date | No | Will utilize PHQ-9A scores to track depression remission. Our system has this screening tool and we will change clinical practice to incorporate this. |
| Preventive Care and Screening: Unhealthy Alcohol Use: Screening and Brief Counseling (ASC) | Percentage of consumers aged 18 years and older who were screened at least once within the last 24 months for unhealthy alcohol use using a systematic screening method AND who received brief counseling if identified as an unhealthy alcohol user | Yes | SBIRT Screening for all individuals starting services, with repeat screening done every 24 months during a reassessment. Appropriate referrals are made when clinically indicated for follow-up treatment. Currently captured. Not currently being submitted anywhere, and we have capabilities to do so when required. |
| Screening for Clinical Depression and Follow-Up Plan (CDF-CH and CDF-AD) | Percentage of beneficiaries ages 12 to 17 screened for depression on the date of the encounter or 14 days prior to the date of the encounter using an age appropriate standardized depression screening tool, and if positive, a follow-up plan is documented on the date of the eligible encounter | No | Our system has this screening tool and we will change clinical practice to incorporate this. |
| Screening for Social Drivers of Health (SDOH) | Percentage of patients 18 years and older screened for food insecurity, housing instability, needs, utility difficulties, and interpersonal safety | Yes | This is currently being captured in our system through z-codes. This screening is done as a part of the comprehensive evaluation and captured through z codes. Not currently being submitted anywhere, but we have the capabilities to do so when required. |
| Preventive Care & Screening: Tobacco Use: Screening & Cessation Intervention (TSC) | Percentage of consumers aged 18 years and older who were screened for tobacco use one or more times within 24 months AND who received cessation intervention if identified as a tobacco user | Yes | We utilize the Fagerstrom screening tool. We mark in the treatment plan whether we are treating, referring, or deferring. If we make a referral, that is documented in our EHR. We are in the midst of developing an integration in our EHR to make direct referrals to the quitline from the EHR for which we would also be able to provide data. Submitted to Indiana Department of Health. |
| Controlling High Blood Pressure (CBP-AD) | Percentage of consumers ages 18 to 85 who had a diagnosis of hypertension (HTN) and whose blood pressure (BP) was adequately controlled during the measurement year based on the following criteria:  • Consumers ages 18 to 59 whose BP was <140/90 mm Hg  • Consumers ages 60 to 85 with a diagnosis of diabetes whose BP was <140/90 mm Hg  • Consumers ages 60 to 85 without a diagnosis of diabetes whose BP was <150/90 mm Hg  A single rate is reported and is the sum of all three groups. | Yes, for all who see prescribers. | We will develop a report in our EHR from the currently collected data. |
| Initiation and Engagement of Alcohol and Other Drug Dependence Treatment (IET-AD) | Percentage of consumers age 13 and older with a new episode of alcohol or other drug (AOD) dependence who received the following:  • Initiated treatment through an inpatient AOD admission, outpatient visit, intensive outpatient encounter, or partial hospitalization within 14 days of the diagnosis  • Initiated treatment and who had two or more additional services with a diagnosis of AOD within 30 days of the initiation visit | Yes | We will develop a report in our EHR from the currently collected data. |
| Hemoglobin A1c Control for Patients with Diabetes (HBD-AD) | Percentage of members 18-75 years of age in the measurement year with a diagnosis of diabetes (Type 1 and Type 2) whose hemoglobin A1c was at the following levels during the measurement year:  • HbA1c control (<8.0%)  • HbA1c poor control (>9.0%) (inverted rate) | Yes, for all who see prescribers. | We will develop a report in our EHR from the currently collected data. |
| Suicidality Risk Assessment | Clinics must utilize at least one of the following suicidality risk assessments:  **Child and Adolescent Major Depressive Disorder (MDD): Suicide Risk Assessment (SRA):** Percentage of consumer visits for those consumers aged 6 through 17 years with a diagnosis of major depressive disorder with an assessment for suicide risk  **Adult Major Depressive Disorder: Suicide Risk Assessment (SRA):**  Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older with a diagnosis of major depressive disorder (MDD) with a suicide risk assessment completed during the visit in which a new diagnosis or recurrent episode was identified  **Ask Suicide-Screening Questions (ASQ):**  A brief (20-second) assessment that healthcare professionals can administer in a variety of settings (emergency department, inpatient medical unit, primary care clinics) to gauge suicide risk in patients. The toolkit website explains how to administer and respond to screening test results  **Suicide Assessment Five-Step Evaluation and Triage (SAFE-T)**: An assessment that can be used by mental health professionals during their first contact with an individual at risk of suicidal behavior and completed suicide. The five-step assessment includes identification of risk and protective factors; conducting an inquiry about suicidality; determining level of risk and selecting an appropriate intervention; and documenting the process, including a follow-up plan  **Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS):**  Supports suicide risk assessment through a series of simple, plain-language questions that anyone can ask. The answers help users identify whether someone is at risk for suicide, assess the severity and immediacy of that risk, and gauge the level of support that the person needs | Yes | We screen everyone at assessments and reassessments. If an additional diagnosis of MDD is added, we will add the clarity of doing a risk assessment at that time. We can track this data and report on it through the EHR.  Oaklawn currently uses the Columbia Suicide Severity Rating scale. |
| Number of Crisis Calls Received by Caller's County | Number of crisis calls received broken out by caller's county (if known) | Yes | Captured through our EHR with custom built reports. Currently reported in alignment with Federal and State grants and submitted through their respective protocols. |
| Number of Crisis Calls Received by Crisis Type (calls could be in multiple types) | Crisis Types: Suicidal; homicidal; adult mental health and serious mental illness; youth mental health and serious emotional disturbance; substance use disorder | Yes | Captured through our EHR with custom built reports. Currently reported in alignment with Federal and State grants and submitted through their respective protocols. |
| Number of Unique Individuals Provided a Mobile Crisis Service By Individual's Location County | Number of unique individuals provided a mobile crisis service broken out by county client is in | Yes | Captured through our EHR with custom built reports. Currently reported in alignment with Federal and State grants and submitted through their respective protocols. |
| Number of Unique Individuals Provided a Mobile Crisis Service by Crisis Type | Crisis Types: Suicidal; homicidal; adult mental health and serious mental illness; youth mental health and serious emotional disturbance; substance use disorder | Yes | Captured through our EHR with custom built reports. Currently reported in alignment with Federal and State grants and submitted through their respective protocols. |
| Number of Unique Individuals Referred to Mobile Crisis from the Following Entities | Referral Entities: Law enforcement; medical hospitals; psychiatric hospitals; behavioral health providers; schools; Department of Child Services; faith-based organizations; homeless shelter; family and friends | Yes | Captured through our EHR with custom built reports. Currently reported in alignment with Federal and State grants and submitted through their respective protocols. |
| Number of Naloxone Dispensations During Mobile Crisis | Number of Naloxone dispensations during mobile crisis | No | We are in the process of adding this question to our crisis module. Once this is deployed in the next week, we will be able to track and report this metric in alignment with Federal and State grant requirements. |
| Number of Unique Individuals Who Received a Follow-up Contact | Number of Unique Individuals Who Received a Follow-up Contact (e.g. telephone call, in-person visit) | No | We currently track and send alerts noting that a client was in crisis and needs a follow up call, but are working on implementing a process to track that the call was completed.  We are in the process of adding this question to our crisis module. Once this is deployed in the next week, we will be able to track and report this metric in alignment with Federal and State grant requirements. |
| Number of Mobile Crisis Services Provided In Person | Number of mobile crisis services provided in person | Yes | Captured through our EHR with custom built reports. Currently reported in alignment with Federal and State grants and submitted through their respective protocols. |
| Mean Mobile Crisis Response Times | Average of total number of minutes between first contact requesting crisis services and mobile crisis team arriving "on-scene" with individual | Yes | Captured through our EHR with custom built reports. Currently reported in alignment with Federal and State grants and submitted through their respective protocols. |
| Mean Mobile Crisis Times | Average of total number of minutes "on-scene to resolution" with the individual | Yes | Captured through our EHR with custom built reports. Currently reported in alignment with Federal and State grants and submitted through their respective protocols. |
| Number of Mobile Crisis Responses Resolved in the Community | Number of mobile crisis responses resolved in the community (e.g. crisis de-escalated, higher level care not required) | Yes | Captured through our EHR with custom built reports. Currently reported in alignment with Federal and State grants and submitted through their respective protocols. |
| Number of Unique Individuals Receiving Crisis receiving and stabilization services (“CRSS”) | Number of individuals provided a CRSS broken out by county client is in | Yes | Captured through our EHR with custom built reports. Currently reported in alignment with Federal and State grants and submitted through their respective protocols. |
| Number of Unique Individuals Provided CRSS by Crisis Type | Crisis Types: suicidal; homicidal; adult mental health and serious mental illness; youth mental health and serious emotional disturbance; substance use disorder | Yes | Captured through our EHR with custom built reports. Currently reported in alignment with Federal and State grants and submitted through their respective protocols. |
| Number of Unique Individuals Referred to CRSS from the Following Entities | Referral Entities: Law enforcement; medical hospitals; psychiatric hospitals; behavioral health providers; schools; Department of Child Services; faith-based organizations; homeless shelter; family and friends | Yes | Captured through our EHR with custom built reports. Currently reported in alignment with Federal and State grants and submitted through their respective protocols. |
| Number of Naloxone Dispensations During CRSS | Number of Naloxone dispensations during mobile crisis | No | We are in the process of adding this question to our crisis module. Once this is deployed in the next week, we will be able to track and report this metric in alignment with Federal and State grant requirements. |
| Number of Unique Individuals Who Received a Follow-up Contact | Number of individuals who received a follow up contact (e.g. telephone call, in-person visit) | No | We are in the process of adding this question to our crisis module. Once this is deployed in the next week, we will be able to track and report this metric in alignment with Federal and State grant requirements. |
| Mean Length of Stay in Hours in CRSS | Average of total number of hours in CRSS | No | We are in the process of adding this question to our crisis module. Once this is deployed in the next week, we will be able to track and report this metric in alignment with Federal and State grant requirements. |

**Table 2: State-Collected Metrics**

**Background:** This section includes the quality metrics that the State will collect and report on during the Demonstration Program. Sites selected for Demonstration through this RFS will be expected to work with the State to accurately capture and report each metric in this section. Many metrics are federally required; others are metrics the State has elected to collect.

**Instructions:**  In the table below, please indicate whether you are currently collecting any data on the quality metrics that will be collected by the State. If you do currently capture and report data for the respective metric, please explain how you are currently doing so. For each metric, please confirm your commitment to work with the State to ensure the State can accurately capture and report the metric.

| **Quality Metric** | **Description** | **Are you currently collecting any data for this metric? If so, how do you currently capture and report it?** | **Confirm your commitment to work with the State to capture this metric. Describe any challenges you foresee in helping capture this data.** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Patient Experience of Care Survey | Annual completion and submission of Mental Health Statistics Improvement Program (MHSIP) Adult Consumer Experience of Care Survey, identifying results separately for BHCs and comparison clinics and oversampling those clinics | Yes, currently collecting via client surveys that are sent out during varying methods. Results are tabulated by DMHA. | We will capture, and do not foresee any potential challenges. |
| Youth/Family Experience of Care Survey | Annual completion and submission of Youth/Family Services Survey for Families (YSS-F) Experience of Care Survey, identifying results separately for BHCs and comparison clinics and oversampling those clinics | Yes, currently collecting via client surveys that are sent out during varying methods. Results are tabulated by DMHA. | We will capture, and do not foresee any potential challenges. |
| Adherence to Antipsychotic Medications for Individuals with Schizophrenia (SAA-AD) | Percentage of consumers ages 19 to 64 during the measurement year with schizophrenia who were dispensed and remained on an antipsychotic medication for at least 80 percent of their treatment period | No | We are working on developing a protocol. |
| Follow-Up After Hospitalization for Mental Illness, ages 18+ (adult) (FUH-AD) | Percentage of discharges for consumers age 21 and older who were hospitalized for treatment of selected mental illness diagnoses and who had an outpatient visit, an intensive outpatient encounter, or partial hospitalization with a mental health practitioner. Two rates are reported:  • Percentage of discharges for which the consumer received follow-up within 30 days of discharge  • Percentage of discharges for which the consumer received follow-up within 7 days of discharge | Currently getting reports on these from the Managed Care Entities. | We will work with the State to capture this. MCEs will need to partner and collaborate to collect and report this data. |
| Follow-Up After Hospitalization for Mental Illness, ages 6 to 17 (child/adolescent) (FUH-CH) | Percentage of discharges for children and adolescents ages 6-17 who were hospitalized for treatment of selected mental illness diagnoses and who had an outpatient visit, an intensive outpatient encounter, or partial hospitalization with a mental health practitioner. Two rates are reported:  • Percentage of discharges for which children received follow-up within 30 days of discharge  • Percentage of discharges for which children received follow-up within 7 days of discharge | Currently getting reports on these from the Managed Care Entities. | We will work with the State to capture this. MCEs will need to partner and collaborate to collect and report this data. |
| Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Mental Illness (FUM-CH & FUM-AD) | Percentage of emergency department (“ED”) visits for consumers 6 years of age and older with a primary diagnosis of mental illness, who had an outpatient visit, an intensive outpatient encounter or a partial hospitalization for mental illness. Two rates are reported:  • Percentage of ED visits for which the consumer received follow-up within 30 days of the ED visit  • Percentage of ED visits for which the consumer received follow-up within 7 days of the ED visit | Currently getting reports on these from the Managed Care Entities. | We will work with the State to capture this. MCEs will need to partner and collaborate to collect and report this data. |
| Follow-Up After Emergency Department Visit for Alcohol and Other Drug Dependence (FUA-CH and FUA-AD) | Percentage of ED visits for consumers 13 years of age and older with a primary diagnosis of alcohol or other drug (AOD) dependence, who had an outpatient visit, an intensive outpatient encounter or a partial hospitalization for AOD. Two rates are reported:  • Percentage of ED visits for which the consumer received follow-up within 30 days of the ED visit  • Percentage of ED visits for which the consumer received follow-up within 7 days of the ED visit | Currently getting reports on these from the Managed Care Entities. | We will work with the State to capture this. MCEs will need to partner and collaborate to collect and report this data. |
| Plan All-Cause Readmissions Rate (PCR-AD) | For consumers age 18 and older, the number of acute inpatient stays during the measurement year that were followed by an unplanned acute readmission for any diagnosis within 30 days. Data are reported in the following three categories:  • Count of Index Hospital Stays (IHS) (denominator)  • Count of 30-Day Readmissions (numerator)  • Readmission Rate | We capture these for our inpatient stays. | We capture this for our hospital stays and would need to work with MCEs on claims data to capture and report this for all. |
| Antidepressant Medication Management (AMM-BH) | Percentage of consumers age 18 and older who were treated with antidepressant medication, had a diagnosis of major depression, and who remained on an antidepressant medication treatment. Two rates are reported:  • Effective Acute Phase Treatment: Percentage of consumers who remained on an antidepressant medication for at least 84 days (12 weeks)  • Effective Continuation Phase Treatment: Percentage of consumers who remained on an antidepressant medication for at least 180 days (6 months) | No | We will need to work with our consultant who provides custom reports to be able to pull and report this data, and are committed to doing so. |
| Follow-Up Care for Children Prescribed Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) Medication (ADD-CH) | Percentage of children newly prescribed attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) medication who had at least three follow-up care visits within a 10-month period, one of which was within 30 days of when the first ADHD medication was dispensed. Two rates are reported:  • Initiation Phase: Percentage of children ages 6 to 12 as of the Index Prescription Start Date (IPSD) with an ambulatory prescription dispensed for ADHD medication, who had one follow-up visit with practitioner with prescribing authority during the 30-day Initiation Phase.  • Continuation and Maintenance (C&M) Phase: Percentage of children ages 6 to 12 as of the IPSD with an ambulatory prescription dispensed for ADHD medication who remained on the medication for at least 210 days and who, in addition to the visit in the Initiation Phase, had at least two follow-up visits with a practitioner within 270 days (9 months) after the Initiation Phase ended | No | We will need to work with our consultant who provides custom reports to be able to pull and report this data, and are committed to doing so. |
| Use of Pharmacotherapy for Opioid Use Disorder (OUD-AD) | Percentage of Medicaid beneficiaries ages 18 to 64 with an opioid use disorder (OUD) who filled a prescription for or were administered or dispensed an FDA-approved medication for the disorder during the measurement year.  This metric includes a Total rate as well as four separate rates representing the following four types of FDA-approved drug products:  • Buprenorphine  • Oral naltrexone  • Long-acting, injectable naltrexone  • Methadone | Yes, we are collecting this information. We are not currently reporting on it, and have the ability to do so. | We confirm our commitment to meeting this metric. |
| Use of First-Line Psychosocial Care for Children and Adolescents on Antipsychotics (APP-CH) | Percentage of children and adolescents 1–17 years of age who had a new prescription for an antipsychotic medication and had documentation of psychosocial care as first-line treatment | Yes, for those who see prescribers. | We will develop a report in our EHR from the currently collected data. |

1. SAMHSA is currently changing metric from I-EVAL to I-SERV [↑](#footnote-ref-2)